



Grant Applicant Number:	Reviewer:	Total Score:
--------------------------------	------------------	---------------------

Rating Guidelines:

Very Good – Specific and comprehensive. Complete, detailed, and clearly articulated information as to how the criteria are met. Well-conceived and thoroughly developed ideas.

Good – General but sufficient detail. Adequate information as to how the criteria are met, but some areas are not fully explained and/or questions remain. Some minor inconsistencies and weaknesses in the application.

Fair – Sketchy and non-specific. Criteria appears to be minimally met, but limited information is provided about approach and strategies. Lacks focus and detail.

Poor – Does not meet the criteria, fails to provide information, provides inaccurate information, or provides information that requires substantial clarification as to how the criteria are met.

N/A – Does not address the criteria or simply re-states the criteria.



Criteria	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A
1. Detailed Description of the Project	4	3	2	1	0
2. Demonstrated Need and Rationale of Funding	4	3	2	1	0
3. Anticipated Outcome and Benefit to Children	4	3	2	1	0
4. Identifies Specific Funding Priority	4	3	2	1	0
Reviewer Comments:					